

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

APPLICATION NO. 09/074,012

05/05/98

FILING DATE

YOSHIDA

S

0694-121

IM62/0329

EXAMINER

JAE H KIM HOPGOOD CALIMAFDE 60 EAST 42ND STREET

NEW YORK NY 10165

PIANALTO, B ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1762

DATE MAILED:

03/29/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Application No		Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/074,012		YOSHIDA ET AL.
	Examiner		Art Unit
	Bernard D	Pianalto	1762
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover	sheet with the o	correspondence address
eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	OLVIS SET TO EX	PIRE 3 MONTH	H(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	٧.		
Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) be considered timely.	days, a reply within the	statutory minimum	of thirty (30) days will
be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for	itory period will apply a	e application to beco	ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
itatus	3 March 2000		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	This action is non-	-final	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐	THIS AUTON 15 HON	formal matters	prosecution as to the merits is
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice unc	ler Ex parte Quayl	e, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consid	leration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claims are subject to restriction an	d/or election requi	rement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10\ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Exam	iner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)∏ apr	proved b) dis	approved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CE	RTIFIED copies of	the priority doc	uments have been:
1. received.		- h-o-r)	
2. received in Application No. (Series	Code / Senai Nun	ibei)	(DCT Pule 17 2(a))
3. received in this National Stage appl	ication from the In	ternational Bure	au (FO) Nuite 17.4(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certifie	d copies not rec	eivea.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for	domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C.	& 119(e).
Attachment(s)			(DTO 443) Papar No(s)
14) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 15) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 16) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	48) 1	7) Interview Su B) Notice of Inf B) Other:	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/074,012

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Goto et al for the same reasons as urged in the action of 3-13-00.

Applicant's arguments filed 3-13-00 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue in the 7th full paragraph on page 3 of their remarks that "In summary, Goto et al does not disclose or teach the composite magnetic body used for the electromagnetic interference suppressing body as taught in the present application...". The examiner is not convinced by this argument since Goto et al discloses that aluminum oxide may be included with the soft magnetic material in a binder and this composite would inherently function as indicated.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/074,012

Art Unit: 1762

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard D Pianalto whose telephone number is 3082332. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on 703 308 2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 3599 for regular communications and 703 305 3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306 5665.

Art Unit: 1762

8 March 29, 2000

> BERNARD PIANALTO PRIMARY EXAMINER